Rich get Richer Power Laws, Long Tails and Preferential Attachment Models in World Wide Web and Social Networks

Arindam Pal arindam.pal1@tcs.com

TCS Innovation Labs Kolkata

June 21, 2013

- **Popularity and the Rich get Richer phenomena**
- **Power laws in social networks**
- **•** Preferential attachment model
- **•** Emergence of long tails
- **•** Effect of search engines and recommendation systems
- Analysis of the preferential attachment model
- Conclusion

Here are some questions about popularity.

- Why do some people or things become more popular than others?
- Why do popular objects get even more popular?
- How can we quantify these imbalances?
- Why do they arise?
- Are they intrinsic to the notion of popularity?

We will try to answer some of these questions.

- We can consider these networks as graphs, where there is a directed edge between two nodes whenever a page links to another page or an undirected edge when two users are friends.
- Counting the number of incoming edges is a measure of popularity.
- This is known as the *in-degree* of a node.
- As a function of k, what fraction of pages on the web has in-degree k ?
- This is a measure of how popularity is distributed among web pages.
- This is called the *in-degree distribution* of a graph.
- What kind of probability distribution is this?

- The Normal (Gaussian) distribution is specified by two parameters the mean (μ) and the standard deviation (σ) from the mean.
- The probability density function is given by $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ $\overline{2\sigma^2}$.
- We write $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2).$
- Typically it is scaled (normalized) so that $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$.
- $\Pr[|X \mu| \ge c\sigma] \le e^{-\alpha c}$, for some $\alpha > 0$.
- The probability of observing a value that exceeds the mean by more than c times the standard deviation decreases exponentially with c .

The Normal curve

目

メロト メ都 トメ ヨ トメ ヨ

• Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with $\mathbf{E}[X_i] = \mu$ and $\mathbf{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2.$ o If

$$
S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,
$$

Then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right).
$$

• In other words, in the limit the sum (or average) of any sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables is distributed according to the normal distribution.

つひい

- **If we assume that each page decides independently at random** whether to link to any other given page, then the number of in-links to a given page is the sum of many independent and identically distributed random quantities.
- Hence, the number of in-links should be normally distributed.
- \bullet So, the number of pages with k in-links should decrease exponentially in k , as k grows large.
- \bullet Let X be the random variable denoting the in-degree of a page.
- $\mathbf{Pr}[X = k] = A \cdot e^{-\alpha k}$ for some constants A and $\alpha.$

- If has been observed that the fraction of web pages having in-degree k is approximately proportional to $\frac{1}{k^2}.$
- $\mathbf{Pr}[X = k] = A \cdot k^{-c}$, for some constants A and c .
- So it is more likely to have pages with large in-degree than what is predicted by the normal distribution.
- **These are also called scale-free networks.**
- This is not unique for web pages. This also happens for telephone networks, friendship networks, citation networks and many other networks.

Power laws and long tails

∢ ⊡

э

- The fraction of web pages that are linked by k web pages is approximately proportional to $\frac{1}{k^2}$.
- The fraction of telephone numbers that receive k calls per day is approximately proportional to $\frac{1}{k^2}.$
- The fraction of books that are bought by k people is approximately proportional to $\frac{1}{k^3}$.
- \bullet The fraction of scientific papers that receive k citations is approximately proportional to $\frac{1}{k^3}.$

- Let $P(k)$ be the fraction of items having value k.
- Suppose we want to test whether $P(k) = A \cdot k^{-c}$, for some constants A and c .
- Then, $\log P(k) = \log A c \log k$.
- \bullet So, if we plot $\log P(k)$ as a function of $\log k$, we should get a straight line whose slope is $-c$ and whose intercept on the y-axis is $\log A$.
- A log-log plot provides a quick way to figure out if the data exhibits an approximate power law distribution.

Power law distribution plotted on a log-log scale

 QQ

The Erdős-Rényi random graph model

- There are two ER models: the $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ model and the $\mathcal{G}(n, m)$ model.
- In the $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ model, there are n nodes.
- Each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ $\binom{n}{2}$ edges is included with probability $p.$
- The expected number of edges in a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$ is $\binom{n}{2}$ $\binom{n}{2}p$.
- Let $P(k)$ be the probability of a vertex having degree k.

$$
P(k) = \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-1-k}
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(k) = \frac{c^k e^{-c}}{k!}, \text{ if } np = c.
$$

• Hence, the vertex degree distribution for an ER graph is binomial, which is Poisson for large n .

つひい

.

- **If is a static model.** There is no mechanism to allow vertex additions/deletions.
- The vertex degree distribution does not follow a power law distribution, even in the limit of large n .
- So where is the power law coming from?
- We need a new generative model to explain this behavior.

- Here is a simple stochastic process for creation of links on web pages.
- Pages are created in the order $1, \ldots, N$.
- When page j is created, it links to an existing page using the following probabilistic rule:
	- \bullet With probability p, page j chooses a page i uniformly at random from among all existing pages, and creates a link to this page i .
	- 2 With probability $1 p$, page j chooses a page i uniformly at random from among all earlier pages, and creates a link to the page that i points to.
- This is known as the Barabási–Albert model.

- The probability of linking to some page ℓ is directly proportional to the total number of pages that currently link to ℓ .
- An alternate way to state rule (2) is:
	- 2a With probability $1 p$, page j creates a link to a page ℓ with probability proportional to ℓ 's current in-degree.
- Note that in rule (2), we are copying the decision made by another page, while in rule (2a), we are selecting a page based on its popularity, although the rules are equivalent.

つひひ

- This is called *rich get richer*, because the probability that the popularity of a page increases is directly proportional to it's current popularity.
- Links are formed *preferentially* to pages that already have high popularity.
- In this model, the probability of a page having in-degree k will be proportional to $\frac{1}{k^c}$, where the value of c depends on $p.$
- \bullet As p gets smaller, copying becomes more frequent. As a result c gets smaller, and we are more likely to see extremely popular pages.

つひひ

- Consider a media company with a large inventory of books or music.
- The important question is: are most sales being generated by a small set of items that are very popular, or by a much larger population of items that are each individually less popular?
- In the former case, the company is basing its success on selling "hits" – a small number of blockbusters that create huge revenues.
- In the latter case, the company is basing its success on a multitude of "niche products," each of which appeals to a small segment of the audience.

- We are interested in the following question $-$ As a function of k, how many items have popularity at least k ?
- \bullet A point (k, j) on this curve means there are j books that have sold at least k copies.
- Now we want to ask the inverse question As a function of i , how many copies of the j^{th} most popular item has been sold?
- A point (j,k) on this curve means k copies of the j^{th} most popular item has been sold.

4 日下

 \mathcal{A} \sim × × \mathcal{A} 目

4 0 8 4

 \sim \rightarrow ∍ × \mathcal{A} 目

- \bullet The area under the curve from some point i to the right is the total volume of sales generated by all items of sales rank i and higher.
- For a particular set of products, whether there is significantly more area under the left part of this curve (hits) or the right part (niche products)?
- It has been observed that there is significant probability mass under the right part, showing that items which are not so popular generate significant amount of sale.
- \bullet Curves of the type where the variable on the x-axis represents rank and y-axis represents frequency have a long history.
- Zipf's law says that the frequency of the j^{th} most common word in English is proportional to $\frac{1}{j}$, which is a power law.

- Are search engines making the rich get richer dynamics of popularity more extreme or less extreme?
- On one hand, Google is using popularity measures to rank Web pages, and the highly-ranked pages are the ones that users see in order to formulate their own decisions about linking.
- On the other hand, by getting results on relatively obscure queries, users are finding pages that they are unlikely to have discovered through browsing alone.
- In order to make money from a giant inventory of niche products, customers should be able to find these products.
- Recommendation systems used by companies like Amazon and Netflix are search tools designed to expose people to items which match user interests as inferred from their history of past purchases.

- Pages are created in the order $1, \ldots, N$.
- When page j is created, it links to an existing page using the following probabilistic rule:
	- \bullet With probability p, page j chooses a page i uniformly at random from among all existing pages, and creates a link to this page i .
	- With probability $1 p$, page j creates a link to a page ℓ with probability proportional to ℓ 's current in-degree.

- Let $X_i(t)$ be the in-degree of a node j at time $t \geq j$, for $1 \leq j \leq N$.
- The initial condition: Since node i starts with no in-links when it is first created at time j, we know that $X_i(j) = 0$.
- The expected change to X_i at time $t + 1$: Node j gets an in-link at $t + 1$ if the link from the newly created node $t + 1$ points to it.
- With probability p, node $t + 1$ creates a link to a node chosen uniformly at random among all existing nodes. The probability that i is this node is $\frac{1}{t}$.
- With probability $1 p$, node $t + 1$ creates a link to node j with probability proportional to j 's in-degree. Since the total number of nodes is t and in-degree of j is $X_j(t)$, this probability is $\frac{X_j(t)}{t}.$

• The recurrence relation for $X_i(t)$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{E}[X_j(t+1) - X_j(t)] = \frac{p}{t} + \frac{(1-p)X_j(t)}{t},
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}[X_j(t+1)] = \mathbf{E}[X_j(t)] + \frac{p}{t} + \frac{(1-p)X_j(t)}{t}.
$$

- Since it is complicated to solve this probabilistic recurrence, we will analyze a closely related but simpler process.
- The idea in formulating the simpler model is to make it deterministic.
- In this model there are no probabilities; instead, everything evolves in a fixed way over time.

The continuous process

- Time t runs continuously from 0 to N .
- We approximate $X_i(t)$ by a continuous function of time $x_i(t)$.
- The initial condition: Since $X_i(j) = 0$, we define $x_i(j) = 0$.
- The rate of change of x_i at time t:

Since,
$$
\mathbf{E}[X_j(t+1) - X_j(t)] = \frac{p}{t} + \frac{(1-p)X_j(t)}{t}
$$
,
We define, $\frac{dx_j}{dt} = \frac{p}{t} + \frac{(1-p)x_j}{t}$.

• Rather than dealing with random variables $X_i(t)$ that move in small probabilistic jumps at discrete points in time, we work with a quantity $x_i(t)$ that changes smoothly over time, at a rate tuned to match the expected changes in the corresponding random variables.

• Setting $q = 1 - p$ for conciseness we get,

$$
\frac{dx_j}{dt} = \frac{p + qx_j}{t},
$$

$$
\int \frac{dx_j}{p + qx_j} = \int \frac{dt}{t}.
$$

Solving this differential equation along with the initial condition $x_i(i) = 0$, we get

$$
x_j(t) = \frac{p}{q} \left[\left(\frac{t}{j}\right)^q - 1 \right].
$$

Power law from the deterministic approximation

- For a given value of k and a time t, what fraction of all nodes have at least k in-links at time t ?
- \bullet Equivalently, for a given value of k and a time t, what fraction of all functions $x_i(t)$ satisfies $x_i(t) \geq k$?

$$
\frac{p}{q}\left[\left(\frac{t}{j}\right)^q - 1\right] \ge k,
$$

$$
j \le t \left(\frac{qk}{p} + 1\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}.
$$

• Out of all the functions x_1, \ldots, x_t at time t, the fraction of values j that satisfy this is

$$
\frac{1}{t} \cdot t \left(\frac{qk}{p} + 1\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\frac{qk}{p} + 1\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Hence, the fract[i](#page-28-0)[o](#page-30-0)n of x_j that a[r](#page-28-0)e at least k is [p](#page-30-0)r[op](#page-29-0)o[rti](#page-0-0)[on](#page-35-0)[al](#page-0-0) [to](#page-35-0) $k^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$ $k^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$

.

- Suppose $f(x)$ is the probability density function of a continuous random variable X.
- Then, $\mathbf{Pr}[a \leq X \leq b] = \int_a^b f(x) dx$.
- Let $F(x)$ be the cumulative distribution function of X.
- We know that $F(x) = \mathbf{Pr}[X \leq x] = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t)dt$.
- Equivalently, $f(x) = F'(x) = \frac{dF}{dx}$.
- Since in our case we have, $G(k) = \mathbf{Pr}[X \ge k] = 1 F(k)$, the required function is $f(k) = \frac{dF}{dk} = -\frac{dG}{dk}$.
- • Note that since X is a continuous random variable, $f(k) = 0$. This is an approximation to the actual value of $\mathbf{Pr}[X = k]$.

Power law arising from the deterministic model

Since,
$$
G(k) = \left(\frac{qk}{p} + 1\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}
$$
,
\nWe have, $-\frac{dG}{dk} = \frac{1}{q} \cdot \frac{q}{p} \left(\frac{qk}{p} + 1\right)^{-\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)}$
\nHence, $\Pr[X = k] = \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{qk}{p} + 1\right)^{-\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)}$.

 \bullet The deterministic model predicts that the fraction of nodes with k in-links is proportional to $k^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)}$, which is a power law with exponent $c = 1 + \frac{1}{1-p}$.

Remarks

- Subsequent analysis of the original probabilistic model showed that, with high probability over the random formation of links, the fraction of nodes with k in-links is proportional to $k^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{1-p}\right)}.$
- The heuristic argument given by the deterministic approximation to the model provides a simple way to see where this power law exponent comes from.
- $\lim_{p\to 1} c = \infty$. Hence, link formation is mainly based on uniform random choices and the power law exponent tends to infinity.
- In this case, nodes with very large numbers of in-links become increasingly rare.
- $\lim_{n\to 0} c = 2$. Hence, the network is highly influenced by the copying behavior.
- The fact that 2 is a natural limit for the exponent also tallies with the fact that many power law exponents in real networks is close to 2.

- In this talk, we discussed about how popularity evolves in social networks.
- We talked about a common phenomenon called *rich get richer*.
- We saw how power law emerges and how the preferential attachment model can give a mathematical explanation of this.
- We also saw how long tails and search engines can affect the dynamics of sells for e-commmerce companies.
- New ideas and mathematical techniques are needed to analyze global effects observed in social networks.
- This includes results from random graphs, percolation theory, spectral graph theory and probabilistic methods.

The rich get richer and the smart get smarter!

 \leftarrow

Questions?

Arindam Pal (TCS Innovation Labs) [Preferential Attachment Model](#page-0-0) June 21, 2013 36 / 36

J.

Þ

э × × 重